



**Austin/Travis County
Continuum of Care**

**2008 HUD Homeless Programs
Consolidated Application**

Community Overview

2008 Continuum of Care Application

Exhibit 1 Community Overview

Austin/Travis County CoC

Table of Contents

Part 1. Organizational Structure

Organizational Structure and Geo Codes	3
Amount of Money Requested in 2008	3

Part 2. Services Inventory

Austin/Travis County Services Inventory Chart	4
---	---

Part 3. Housing Inventory, Unmet Needs and Count

Housing Inventory Data Sources and Methods	6
Emergency Shelter Housing Inventory Chart	6
Transitional Housing Inventory Chart	8
Permanent Housing Inventory Chart	10
Safe Haven Inventory Chart	12
Point in Time Average Bed Utilization Rate	13
Unmet Needs	13
Chronic Homeless Progress Chart	14
Point in Time Count Homeless Populations and Subpopulations	15
Homeless Management Information System	16

Part 4. CoC Strategic Planning Goals and Accomplishments

Achievements	17
Enrollment in Mainstream Programs	20
Discharge Planning	21

Part 5. Funding Priorities and Reallocation

Pro Rata Need Reallocation	24
Funding Priorities Chart	25
Project Leveraging Chart	26
Current Funding and Renewal Projections	24

Appendices

Structure and Decision Making Processes	28
Project Review and Selection	31
Point in Time Count Data Sources and Methods	34
2007 CoC Achievements Chart	35
2007 CoC Current Funding and Renewal Projections	37

Introduction:

This report contains an overview of the information that was contained in the Exhibit I of the Austin/Travis County Continuum of Care application to HUD which was submitted in September, 2008.

Part I. CoC Organizational Structure

CoC Organizational Structure

HUD-Defined CoC Name:	CoC Number*
Austin/Travis County Continuum of Care	TX 503

Austin/Travis County Homeless Task Force		
Gilja Koo, Community Based Resources Planner II		
City of Austin Health and Human Services Department		
7201 Levander Loop		
Austin	TX	78702
Phone Number: (512) 972-6156	Fax Number: (512) 972-5025	
Email Address: gilja.koo@ci.austin.tx.us		

CoC Geography Codes

Geographic Area Name	6-digit Code
Austin, Texas	480264
Travis County, Texas	489453

2008 Amount of Funding Requested for Travis County:

FPRN \$2,904,149
Rapid Re-Housing \$795,540
Samaritan Housing \$397,770
SPC Renewal \$1,011,336
Rejected \$506,339

TOTAL REQUESTED: \$5,108,795

Part 2. Services Inventory

Austin/Travis County Services Inventory Chart

(1) Provider Organizations	Sub-populations	(2) Prevention				(3) Outreach			(4) Supportive Services										
		Mortgage Assistance	Rental Assistance	Utilities Assistance	Counseling/Advocacy	Legal Assistance	Street Outreach	Mobile Clinic	Law Enforcement	Case Management	Life Skills	Alcohol & Drug Abuse	Mental Health Counsel	Healthcare	HIV/AIDS	Education	Employment	Child Care	Transportation
ATCMHMR	MI, SA	x	x	x		x	x		x	x	x	x		x					x
AIDS Services of Austin	SA, HIV	x	x	x	x	x			x	x				x					x
Austin Travis County HHS	HIV								x										
Blackland Community Development Corporation	MI, SA	x							x	x			x					x	
Caritas of Austin	MI, SA	x	x	x					x	x	x	x			x	x			x
Casey Family Services	Youth								x	x					x	x			
Central Texas Veterans Health Care System	VET MI										x	x	x						
Community Partnership for the Homeless	VET, MI								x	x									
Family Connections	Youth																	x	
Family Eldercare	VET, MI	x	x	x					x										
Foundation Communities	MI SA	x			x				x	x					x				
Foundation for the Homeless	DV								x	x									x
Front Steps	MI SA	x							x	x	x		x		x	x			
Goodwill Industries	MI SA								x							x			
House the Homeless	VET MI					x													
Keep Austin Housed, Americorps									x										
LifeWorks	DV, Youth																		
Micah 6	VET	x																	
SafePlace	DV, MI	x	x	x			x		x	x		x			x	x	x	x	x
Seton Hospital	MI, SA						x				x	x							
The Salvation Army	DV, Youth	x	x	x	x				x	x	x	x			x	x	x	x	x

Provider Organizations	Sub-populations	Mortgage Assistance	Rental Assistance	Utilities Assistance	Counseling/Advocacy	Legal Assistance	Street Outreach	Mobile Clinic	Law Enforcement	Case Management	Life Skills	Alcohol & Drug Abuse	Mental Health Counsel	Healthcare	HIV/AIDS	Education	Employment	Child Care	Transportation	
Travis County Health & Human Services & Veteran's Services	VET Youth	x	x	x						x										
Travis County Housing Authority	MI, SA		x	x																
Travis County Mental Health Public Defenders	MI, SA					x														

Part 3. Housing Inventory Data Sources and Methods

The CoC conducted a housing inventory survey (via mail, fax, e-mail, web-based, phone or on-site) of homeless programs/providers to update current bed inventories, target populations for programs, beds under development, etc. The service providers were given instructions, the previous year's inventory to update, asked follow-up questions, and to confirm their information. Homeless Management Information Systems database information was also used to complete and verify information.

Key for Inventory Charts

KEY: Target Population A and B	
SM: single males	YF: youth females
SF: single females	YMF: youth males and females
SMF: single males and females	SMF + HC: Single male and female plus households with children
CO: couples only, no children	
SMHC: single males and households with children	DV - Domestic Violence victims only
SFHC: single females and households with children	VET - Veterans only
HC: households with children	HIV - HIV/AIDS populations only
YM: youth males	

CoC Emergency Shelter Housing Inventory Chart

Total Year-Round Beds - Individuals	
1. Current Year-Round Individual Emergency Shelter (ES) Beds	456
1A. Number of DV Year-Round Individual ES Beds	10
1B. Subtotal, non-DV Year-Round Individual ES Beds	446
2. New Year-Round Individual ES beds	0
3. Under Development Year-Round Individual Beds	0
3. Total Year Round Individual ES Beds in HMIS	430
4. HMIS Bed Coverage: Individual ES Beds	96%

Total Year-Round Beds - Families	
5. Current Year-Round Family Emergency Shelter (ES) Beds:	247
5A. Number of DV Year-Round Family ES Beds:	80
5B. Subtotal, non-DV Year-Round Family ES Beds	167
5. New Year-Round Family ES Beds	0
6. Under Development Year-Round Family ES Beds	0
7. Total Year-Round Family ES Beds in HMIS	167
8. HMIS Bed Coverage: Family ES Beds	100%

Provider Name	Facility Name	Target Pop		Year-Round			Total Year-Round Beds	Other Beds	
		A	B	Fam. Beds	Fam. Units	Indiv. Beds		Seasonal	Overflow & Voucher
Austin/Travis County Emergency Shelter Inventory (Available for Occupancy on or before Jan. 31, 2008)									
Foundation for the Homeless	Interfaith Hospitality Network	HC		32	9	0	32	0	0
Front Steps	ARCH	SM		0	0	175	175	50	0
LifeWorks	Youth Shelter	YMF		0	0	20	20	0	0
SafePlace	Family/Women's	SFHC	DV	80	26	10	90	0	0
Salvation Army	Austin Women's & Children's Shelter	SFHC		54	18	6	60	0	0
Salvation Army	Family Dorm	HC		60	16	0	60	0	0
Salvation Army	Men's Dorm	SM		0	0	118	118	200	0
Salvation Army	Men's Worker's Dorm	SM		0	0	31	31	0	0
Salvation Army	Turning Point	SF		0	0	41	41	30	16
Salvation Army	Women's Worker's Dorm	SF		0	0	22	22	0	0
Casa Marienella	Adult Shelter	SMF		0	0	27	27	0	0
Casa Marienella	Posada Esperanza	SFHC		21	7	0	21	0	0
Front Steps	Recuperative Care	SMF		0	0	6	6	0	0
AUSTIN/TRAVIS COUNTY TOTAL CURRENT EMERGENCY SHELTER INVENTORY				247	76	456	703	280	16

Cold Weather Shelters Churches

St. Ignatius Martyr Catholic Church	St. Ignatius	SMF			115
First Unitarian Universalist Church	First Unitarian	SMF			50
Trinity United Methodist Church	Trinity United	SMF			30
Missionary Baptist Church	David Chapel	SM			20
Central Presbyterian Church	Central Presbyterian	M			30
Subtotal Cold Weather Shelter					245

From 2007-2008, there was a slight increase (from 445 to 456) in individual emergency shelter beds and an increase of 10 family emergency shelter beds: LifeWorks 4 individual youth beds; Front Steps 6 individual beds; and Casa Marienella 1 individual beds; Casa Marianella 9 additional family beds; and Safeplace 5 additional family beds. LifeWorks reduced their family beds by 4.

CoC Transitional Housing Inventory Charts

Austin/Travis County Transitional Housing Inventory

Total Year-Round Beds - Individuals		
1. Current Year-Round Individual Transitional Housing (TH) Beds		185
1A. Number of DV Year-Round Individual TH Beds		7
1B. Subtotal, non-DV Year-Round Individual TH Beds		178
2. New Year-Round Individual TH beds		20
3. Under Development Year-Round Individual Beds		0
4. Total Year Round Individual TH Beds in HMIS		184
5. HMISBed Coverage: Individual TH Beds		103%

Total Year-Round Beds - Families		
6. Current Year-Round Family Transitional Housing (TH) Beds:		397
6A. Number of DV Year-Round Family TH Beds:		92
6B. Subtotal, non-DV Year-Round Family TH Beds		305
7. New Year-Round Family TH Beds		12
8. Under Development Year-Round Family TH Beds		24
9. Total Year-Round Family TH Beds in HMIS		293
10. HMIS Bed Coverage: Family TH Beds		96%

Provider Name	Facility Name	Target Pop		Year-Round			Total Year-Round Beds
		A	B	Family Beds	Family Units	Individ. Beds	
ATCMHMR	Project Recovery	SM		0	0	12	12
ATCMHMR	Alameda House	SMF		0	0	15	15
Blackland CDC	Blackland TH	SFH C		36	9	0	36
Caritas of Austin	Re-entry Program	SMF		0	0	20	20
Community Partnership for the Homeless	CPH Transitional Housing	SMF	VET	0	0	25	25
Family Eldercare	Elder Shelter	SMF		0	0	8	8
LifeWorks	SHP	SMF +HC	Y	33	13	5	38
LifeWorks	Transitional Living Program	YMF		0	0	16	16
Front Steps	First Steps Transitional	SMF		0	0	7	7
Push-Up Foundation	Men's Transitional	SM		0	0	20	20

SafePlace	Supportive Housing	SFH C	DV	92	39	7	99
Salvation Army	Passages TBRA	SMF +HC		195	65	15	210
VinCare Services	St. Louise House	SFH C		29	11	0	29
Family Eldercare	AHFC Transitional Housing	SMF		0	0	15	15
AUSTIN/TRAVIS COUNTY TOTAL CURRENT TRANSITIONAL HOUSING INVENTORY				385	137	165	550

New Transitional Housing Inventory in Place in 2008

(Available for Occupancy Feb. 1, 2007 – Jan. 31, 2008)

LifeWorks	Young Mom's and Babies	SFH C		12	6	0	12
Caritas of Austin	My Place	SMF		0	0	20	20
SUBTOTAL NEW INVENTORY:				12	6	20	32

Transitional Housing Inventory Under Development

(Available for Occupancy after January 31, 2008)

		Anticipated Occupancy Date					
Marywood	Stepping Stones	Not Available		24	8	0	24
SUBTOTAL INVENTORY UNDER DEVELOPMENT:				24	8	0	24

From 2007-2008, Caritas of Austin added the My Place program which created 20 new units of transitional housing for single adults. LifeWorks also added a transitional living program for pregnant/parenting youth with six units and 12 additional beds.

Austin/Travis County CoC Permanent Housing Inventory Charts

Current Inventory (Available for Occupancy on or before January 31, 2008)

Total Year-Round Beds - Individuals		
1. Current Year-Round Individual Permanent Housing (PH) Beds		305
1A. Number of DV Year-Round Individual PH Beds		0
1B. Subtotal, non-DV Year-Round Individual PH Beds		305
2. New Year-Round Individual PH beds		0
3. Under Development Year-Round Individual Beds		60
4. Total Year Round Individual PH Beds in HMIS		255
5. HMIS Bed Coverage: Individual PH Beds		84%

Total Year-Round Beds - Families		
6. Current Year-Round Family Permanent Housing (PH) Beds:		69
6A. Number of DV Year-Round Family PH Beds:		0
6B. Subtotal, non-DV Year-Round Family PH Beds		69
7. New Year-Round Family PH Beds		0
8. Under Development Year-Round Family PH Beds		18
9. Total Year-Round Family PH Beds in HMIS		69
10. HMIS Bed Coverage: Family PH Beds		100%

Provider Name	Facility Name	Target Population		Year-Round			Total Year-Round Beds
				Family Beds	Family Units	Individual/CH Beds	
Housing Authority of City of Austin	Shelter Plus Care (1 YR)	SMF	HIV	36	14	25/0	61
Housing Authority of City of Austin	Shelter Plus Care (5 YR)	SMF		11	8	25/0	36
Housing Authority of Travis County	Shelter Plus Care (1 YR)	SMF		18	2	50/0	68
Housing Authority of Travis County	Shelter Plus Care (5 YR)	SMF		4	2	15/15	19
Caritas of Austin	Spring Terrace	SMF		0	0	20/20	20
Foundation Communities	Spring Terrace	SMF		0	0	120/0	120
Foundation Communities	Garden Terrace: Mod rehab	SMF		0	0	50/0	50
AUSTIN/TRAVIS COUNTY				69	26	305/35	374
TOTAL CURRENT PERMANENT HOUSING INVENTORY:							

Permanent Housing Inventory Under Development

(Available for Occupancy after January 31, 2008)

		Anticipated Occupancy Date						
Community Partnership for the Homeless	Glen Oaks Corner	unknown	SFH C		18	6	0/0	18
Foundation Communities	Skyline Terrace	unknown	SMF		0	0	50/0	50
Front Steps	First Steps at garden Terrace	May 2008	SMF		0	0	10/10	10
<i>SUBTOTAL PERMANENT HOUSING INVENTORY UNDER DEVELOPMENT:</i>					18	6	60	78

From 2007-2008 Foundation Communities (FC) opened up 120 units for the homeless in 2006 at their Spring Terrace property, in addition to another 20 units, administered by Caritas, at the same property for chronically homeless persons. These 120 units were not previously reported in the COC Housing Inventory Chart, as they were not HUD funded. In a HUD COC training in 2008, we learned these units should be reported because they are reserved for the homeless. In 2008, FC also created 50 units for homeless individuals at their Skyline Terrace property. Additionally, in 2008, FC created 15 units reserved for the homeless at Garden Terrace, an existing property.

Austin/Travis County CoC Safe Haven Inventory Charts

Current Inventory (Available for Occupancy on or before January 31, 2008)

Total Year-Round Beds - Individuals	
1. Current Year-Round Individual Safe Haven (SH) Beds	16
1A. Number of DV Year-Round Individual SH Beds	0
1B. Subtotal, non-DV Year-Round Individual SH Beds	16
2. New Year-Round Individual SH beds	0
3. Under Development Year-Round Individual Beds	0
4. Total Year Round Individual SH Beds in HMIS	16
5. HMIS Bed Coverage: Individual SH Beds	100%

Total Year-Round Beds - Families	
6. Current Year-Round Family Save Haven (SH) Beds:	0
6A. Number of DV Year-Round Family SH Beds:	0
6B. Subtotal, non-DV Year-Round Family SH Beds	0
7. New Year-Round Family SH Beds	0
8. Under Development Year-Round Family SH Beds	0
9. Total Year-Round Family SH Beds in HMIS	0
10. HMIS Bed Coverage: Family SH Beds	

Provider Name	Facility Name	Target Pop		Year-Round			Total Year-Round Beds
		A	B	Family Beds	Family Units	Individ. Beds	
ATCMHMR	Safe Haven	SMF		0	0	16	16
SUBTOTALS				0	0	16	16

From 2007-2008 there were no changes in capacity at the Safe Haven Program.

CoC Point In Time Average Bed Utilization Rates:

HUD requires communities to identify a point in time and determine how many of the available beds are occupied at any point in time. This is measured by the number of beds that are entered into the HMIS system on that date. This point in time data was based on January 28, 2008.

Program Type	Average Bed Utilization Rates
Emergency Shelters	83%
Transitional Housing	76%
Permanent Supportive Housing	57%
Safe Havens	94%

Explanation for Low Utilization in Permanent Supportive Housing:

Foundation Communities (FC), one of the largest local affordable housing developers, has not previously utilized HMIS because the majority of its permanent housing units reserved for the homeless were not HUD-funded and HMIS reporting was not a requirement. FC has agreed to begin using HMIS; and FC staff is working with the HMIS Coordinator to determine the best way to begin HMIS implementation, so that their data can be collected and reported as soon as possible.

CoC Housing Inventory Unmet Need

Austin/Travis County

In order to determine the Unmet Need, An ad-hoc group comprised of members of the ECHO Planning and Evaluation Committee reviewed the data that was included in the Housing Inventory Chart, Unsheltered and Sheltered Count and Survey, and HMIS. In addition, it surveyed the Continuum of Care providers to determine if their experience matched the collected data. The ad-hoc group then applied the HUD established formulas for calculating unmet need. The one unmet need number that was changed due to community based information is Permanent Supportive Housing for individuals. This was due to the high number of single adults identified in the Unsheltered Count. The survey data collected during Unsheltered Count indicated that a higher percentage of individuals need Permanent Supportive Housing in the community

Unmet Need Austin/Travis County:

This chart is auto-generated by HUD based on the numbers of homeless included in the annual count and the availability of shelter and housing that is included in the Electronic Housing Inventory. Austin/Travis County accepted the HUD calculations except for the number of permanent supportive housing needed for individuals. Austin/Travis County increased this number based on the high number of unsheltered individuals.

Unmet Needs: All Year-Round Beds/Units				Seasonal Beds	Overflow Beds
Family Beds	Family Units	Individual Beds	Total Year-Round Beds	Total Seasonal Beds	Overflow Beds
Emergency Shelters					
0	0	456	456	525	16
Transitional Housing					
397	151	185	582		
Permanent Supportive Housing					
69	32	677	746		
Safe Havens					
0	0	16	16		0

CoC Chronic Homeless (CH) Progress Chart

1. Enter the total number of chronically homeless persons in your CoC and the total number of permanent housing beds designated for the chronically homeless in your CoC for each year.		
Year	Number of CH Persons	Number of PH beds for the CH
2005	258	20
2006	258	20
2007	443	40
2008	919	35
Briefly describe the reason(s) for any increases in the total number of chronically homeless persons between 2006 and 2007:		
A count was conducted in January 2007, which gave us more accurate Count information. In the 2007 application, the HUD average percentage of Chronically Homeless was used to make the estimate. In 2008, all the surveys and HMIS data were reviewed so that an actual count was created, which resulted in a much higher number of chronically homeless.		

2008 CoC Point-in-Time Count Homeless Population and Subpopulations Chart

HUD requires that an annual count of sheltered and unsheltered homeless persons occurs every other year. The numbers used were those from the Count that occurred in January 2007 as ECHO chose not to conduct a count in 2008. Note : In 2008, Travis County submitted the ACTUAL numbers from the 2007 Count rather than the Martha Burt estimate which was submitted in 2007 This change was made due to guidance from HUD stating that actual numbers should be used. In addition, the HUD automatic formulas were used to identify the sub-populations.

Austin/Travis County Actual Count

Part 1: Homeless Population	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
	Emergency	Transitional		
1. Number of Households with Dependent Children:	71	80	9	160
1a. Total Number of Persons in these Households (adults and children)	237	303	30	570
2. Number of Households without Dependent Children**	609	156	2,116	2,881
2a. Total Number of Persons in these Households	609	156	2,116	2,881
Total Persons (Add Lines 1a and 2a):	846	459	2146	3451
TOTAL HOUSEHOLDS	680	236	2125	3041
Part 2: Homeless Subpopulations (Adults only, except g.)	Sheltered		Unsheltered	Total
a. Chronically Homeless	242		677	919
b. Severely Mentally Ill	323		339	662
c. Chronic Substance Abuse	262		613	875
d. Veterans	79		127	206
e. Persons with HIV/AIDS	14		42	56
f. Victims of Domestic Violence	284		106	390
g. Unaccompanied Youth (Under 18)	28		63	91

CoC Homeless Management Information System (HMIS)

HMIS Lead Organization Information

Organization Name: Austin Travis Co. MHMR Center	Contact Person: Andrew Bucknall
Phone: 512-440-4064	Email: Andrew.Bucknall@atcmhmr.com

HMIS Implementation Status

HMIS Data Entry Start Date for your CoC: ANCHoR-09/1998; ServicePoint HMIS 09/2001

<p>1. HMIS implementation: HMIS uses Service Point which is operated by Bowman Systems. According to the Housing Inventory:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 83% of Emergency Shelter Beds are included in HMIS ➤ 76% of Transitional Housing beds are included in HMIS ➤ 57% of Permanent Housing beds are included in HMIS ➤ 94% of Safe Haven individual beds are included in HMIS <p>2. HMIS Staffing in 2007/2008: In 2007, the HMIS Coordinator position, who is employed by Austin Travis County Mental Health Mental Retardation Center (ATCMHMR), became vacant and remained so for several months. ATCMHMR hired a new HMIS Coordinator, as well as a person to manage data reports and quality control. This staffing pattern allows for increased community presence, training opportunities, HMIS utilization and technical support. ATCMHMR continues to seek additional resources to fund the HMIS system. In addition, our community is assessing possible ways to enhance the system so that it can have applications beyond homeless service providers.</p>
--

Calendar Year	Number of Client Records Entered in HMIS / Analytical Database (Duplicated) for CoC	Number of Unduplicated Clients Entered in HMIS / Analytical Database for CoC
2004	6,704	6,118
2005	9,104	8,140
2006	6,443	5,818
2007	8,988	7,791

In 2005, there was an increase of 3,022 unduplicated clients added to the system from the previous '04 year. This increase was largely due to the Salvation Army joining the HMIS in December of '2004 and adding new clients to the system. The Salvation Army Downtown Shelter is the largest provider of emergency shelter services in the Austin Travis County Continuum of Care.

Between 2005-2006, the numbers of clients entered into the HMIS decreased by 3,322. This occurred because of the marked increase in new data entered by the Salvation Army in 2005. In 2006, the Austin HMIS did not experience any comparably large agencies inputting client data for the first time.

Part 4. Strategic Planning Goals and Accomplishments

1. Create new Permanent Housing for Chronically homeless persons:

Proposed Numeric Achievements

	%/Beds/Households
Baseline (Current Level)	45
Numeric Achievement in 12 months	96
Numeric Achievement in 5 years	120
Numeric Achievement in 10 years	677

Proposed Actions Steps:

1. Caritas will apply for 21 units of Permanent Supportive Housing for the chronically homeless through the Samaritan Bonus which will be located at two different apartment complexes
2. Caritas will apply to add 30 units of Permanent Supportive Housing. This funding will come from re-allocated funds.
3. The Corporation of Supportive Housing will create a program and financial modeling report that will identify the local need for permanent supportive housing units for people with disabilities, including chronically homeless persons

2. Increase percentage of persons staying in permanent housing over 6 months to at least 71.5%

Proposed Numeric Achievements

	%/Beds/Households
Baseline (Current Level)	91
Numeric Achievement in 12 months	91
Numeric Achievement in 5 years	92
Numeric Achievement in 10 years	93

Proposed Actions Steps:

1. Integrate new Rapid Housing Specialist with homeless providers to increase housing coordination for homeless individuals and families. Caritas will apply to add 30 units of Permanent Supportive Housing. This funding will come from re-allocated funds.
2. Create a list of affordable housing for homeless individuals and sub-populations. This list will be created in collaboration with other coalitions that are addressing the sub-populations, e.g., the Mayor's Mental Health Task Force, and shared amongst the groups.
3. Foundation Communities will partner with Family Eldercare to provide self-care assistance for residents having difficulty caring for themselves and are at risk of eviction. Assistance includes light housekeeping and medication reminders.

3. Increase percentage of persons moving from transitional housing to permanent housing to at least 63.5%

Proposed Numeric Achievements

	%/Beds/Households
Baseline (Current Level)	69
Numeric Achievement in 12 months	70
Numeric Achievement in 5 years	75
Numeric Achievement in 10 years	80

Proposed Actions Steps:

1. Review APR's on a quarterly basis with an emphasis on the percentage moving from transitional to permanent housing
2. Prevention Committee will review local barriers for transitional housing participants moving to permanent housing
3. New Community Rapid Re-housing specialist will be utilized to identify and build relationships with local landlords

4. Increase percentage of homeless persons employed at exit to at least 19%

Proposed Numeric Achievements

	%/Beds/Households
Baseline (Current Level)	40
Numeric Achievement in 12 months	45
Numeric Achievement in 5 years	50
Numeric Achievement in 10 years	60

Proposed Actions Steps:

1. ECHO will sponsor the 'Ready, Willing, and Able' conference in May 2009 which will bring together best practices in getting the homeless to work from around the nation. It will also serve as an opportunity to create a local framework for assisting the homeless in finding employment
2. ATCMHMR will provide monthly employment and money management workshops with Shelter + Care clients
3. Youth & Family Alliance dba LifeWorks will collaborate with Goodwill Industries to provide a Job Developer at the LifeWorks Youth Resource Center in South Austin

4. Decrease the number of homeless households with children

Proposed Numeric Achievements

	%/Beds/Households
Baseline (Current Level)	160
Numeric Achievement in 12 months	140
Numeric Achievement in 5 years	120
Numeric Achievement in 10 years	80

Proposed Actions Steps:

1. In 2008, Foundation Communities will apply for permanent supportive housing for families with a disabled adult
2. In 2008, The Salvation Army Passages Collaboration will apply for Rapid Re-housing for families with children
3. The Corporation of Supportive Housing will create a program and financial modeling report that will identify the local need for permanent supportive housing units for people with disabilities, including families with dependent children

4A. Continuum of Care (CoC) 2007 Achievements

Objective	Proposed 12-Month Achievement (number of beds or percentage)		Actual 12-Month Achievement (number of beds or percentage)	
Create new PH beds for CH	75	Beds	25	Beds
Increase percentage of homeless persons staying in PH over 6 months to at least 71%	90	%	91	%
Increase percentage of homeless persons moving from TH to PH to at least 61.5%	70	%	69	%
Increase percentage of homeless persons employed at exit to at least 18%	15	%	40	%
Ensure that the CoC has a functional HMIS system	100	%	100	%

Continuum of Care (CoC) Enrollment in Mainstream Programs and Employment Information

Using data from the most recently submitted APRs for each of the projects within the CoC, provide information about the CoCs progress in reducing homelessness by helping clients access mainstream services and gain employment.

*** Total Number of Exiting Adults:**

*

Mainstream Program	Number of Exiting Adults (Auto-calculated)	Exit Percentage
SSI	52	13 %
SSDI	36	9 %
Social Security	16	4 %
General Public Assistance	0	0 %
TANF	16	4 %
SCHIP	0	0 %
Veterans Benefits	17	4 %
Employment Income	164	40 %
Unemployment Benefits	1	0 %
Veterans Health Care	0	0 %
Medicaid	79	19 %
Food Stamps	89	21 %
Other (Please specify below)	75	18 %
<input type="text" value="family contributions"/>		
No Financial Resources	99	24 %

CoC Discharge Planning Policy Chart

Publicly Funded Institution(s) or System(s) of Care in CoC Geographic Area	None	Initial Discussion	Protocol in Development	Formal Protocol Finalized	Formal Protocol Implemented
Foster Care			X		
Health Care					X
Mental Health					X
Corrections			X		
<p>Foster Care: In 2007 and 2008, the ECHO Prevention Committee worked to establish the scope of need regarding children exiting the foster care system, the supports that are in place, and the barriers this population faces. The local foster care-serving agencies that have participated in these discussions include: LifeWorks, the Texas Department of Family and Protective Services, Casey Family Foundation, and Travis County Court Appointed Special Advocates. As of July 31, there were seventy one 17-year-olds in Travis County Child Protective Services (CPS). Best practice models have been identified, such as the Circle of Support program in which the foster youth works with CPS and local supportive service agencies to plan their exit from foster care. In addition, a pilot group was established made up of a youth who have been assigned a guardian ad litem and were choosing to keep that guardian past the age of 18 in order to assist them in advocating for education, housing, and services. The Committee identified that there is a limited amount of transitional housing available for these youth. Collaborating agencies that provide services to youth aging out of foster care include: LifeWorks who offers life skills classes, and Austin Community College who offers special support services for former foster care youth. The Committee plans to identify all the systems that affect youth aging out of foster care and strive to have some formal protocols in place by December 31, 2009.</p>					

Health Care:

In 2007, the ECHO Exiting Committee identified the need to address the discharge of homeless people from local hospitals. The Committee engaged in dialogue with both local health providers and funders in 2008. A Recuperative Care Pilot Project was funded. This pilot project is a collaboration of St. David's Community Health Foundation, Seton Family of Hospitals, Travis County Health Care District, and Front Steps.

Through this project, homeless clients can stay in a recuperative care facility for 14 days. This program is more cost efficient and program effective than the average 4.5 day stay in a hospital for a homeless person. In order to gather data on how many homeless patients are in hospitals, hospitals refer all homeless clients to the recuperative care program whether or not they are eligible for the recuperative care program. Front Steps, a homeless services provider, conducts follow up on the eligible clients. For the duration of the project, the recuperative care program will be conducted at a nursing home. The nursing home provides medical care, and Front Steps provides case management. Clients will be connected to appropriate services, including a medical home (area clinic) and, whenever possible, will be placed in transitional housing. The ECHO Exiting Committee receives regular updates on the progress of the project. In addition, there are ongoing discussions about other innovative ways to avoid discharging people into homelessness.

Mental Health:

The ECHO Prevention Committee is charged with overseeing the prevention of discharge of individuals from mental health institutions into homelessness. One of the Co-Chairs of this Committee works for the local mental health authority (Austin Travis County Mental Health and Mental Retardation Center [MHMR]), and keeps the group apprised of the discussions and progress. MHMR has been working with the State Hospital system to help insure that no one is discharged from any State Mental Health Institution directly into homelessness in the Austin/Travis County area.

In collaboration with the State Hospital system, protocols and procedures have been designed and implemented to alert MHMR when someone is admitted to the hospital who is homeless. When this occurs, MHMR then sends a staff member who meets the individual at the hospital and becomes involved in his/her discharge planning. This insures that the individual is not discharged directly into homelessness, and instead receives a continuity of care. This assistance also reduces the possibility of recidivism. The protocols are in place, and they have been implemented.

Corrections:

In 2008, the Director of the Travis County Re-entry Roundtable joined the ECHO Prevention Committee, so the work of the two groups can be coordinated. In addition, one of the Travis County criminal justice planners who works closely with programming at both the State and County jails joined the ECHO Exiting Committee. This new collaboration, offers increased coordination between the organizations that focus their work on offenders and organizations that provide homeless services. In 2008, the Prevention Committee reviewed inmate survey data from the Re-entry Roundtable that identified the key barriers to re-entry: permanent housing, employment, and family issues. In 2009, based on this survey data, the Committee will focus on creating a list of housing that will accept offenders. Also, the State and Travis County jails have agreed to share this housing list within the jails, and allow inmates to contact organizations to arrange housing prior to release. In addition, the Committee has been working with Travis County to implement a rapid employment model for offenders. In 2008, Travis County removed a box on its application form that asked about criminal history, in order to lower employment barriers. Formal protocols are expected to be enacted by December 31, 2009.

Part 5. Funding Priorities and Reallocation

CoC Pro Rata Need (PRN) Reallocation Chart

Executive Directors of CoC funded organizations met in 2008 and voluntarily decided to reduce their supportive services budgets so that the reallocated money could be used to help fund permanent housing projects. The goal of this was to increase the community's housing ratio. Through this process **\$824,152 was reallocated** for permanent supportive housing projects.

There was preference given in the scoring process of the Independent Review Team to rank projects that cut their budgets by 10% highest, 5% next highest, and those renewal projects that did not cut their budgets next. This was done in order to create additional permanent housing.

Following the scoring, it was recommended that the reallocated funds are used for two new projects:

- Caritas of Austin will lease 30 new units of permanent supportive, primarily for people who are chronically homeless
- HACA and Foundation Communities will lease 10 units of permanent supportive housing for families with a disabled adult through a Shelter + Care program

Name of Organization	2008 Renewal Amount	2008 Amount Requested	Amount Available for Reallocation
Salvation Army: Passages	\$738,081	\$538,081	\$200,000
SafePlace Supportive Housing	\$792,857	\$613,003	\$179,854
Caritas Re-entry	\$303,216	0	\$303,216
LifeWorks Street Outreach	\$141,082	0	\$141,082
TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR REALLOCATION			\$824, 152

CoC 2008 Funding Priorities Chart

The Planning and Evaluation Committee agreed on the following Community Priorities:

1. Permanent Supportive Housing for Chronically Homeless Individuals: Samaritan Bonus
2. Homeless Management Information System
3. New Permanent Supportive Housing with a minimum 85% Housing and 15% Services ratio
4. Projects with a housing focus (no more than 50% services)
5. All other projects that serve homeless individuals and families

(1) SF-424 Applicant Name	(2) Project Sponsor Name	(3) Project Name	(4) Priority	(5) Requested Project Amount	(6) Term	(7) Program and Component Type			
						SHP New	SHP Renewal	S+C New	SRO New
Caritas of Austin	same	My Home Too: Permanent Supportive Housing for chronically homeless: Lease 21 units of permanent supportive housing at two different apartment complexes	1	\$397,770	2	PH			
Austin/Travis County Mental Health/Mental Retardation Center	same	Austin/Travis County HMIS ServicePoint	2	\$78,533	1		HMIS		
Caritas of Austin	same	My Home: lease 30 units, mostly for chronically homeless	3	\$303,712	1	PH			
HACA/Foundation Communities	same	10 units Shelter + Care for families who have a disabled adult	4	\$520,440	5			S+C	
LifeWorks	same	Transitional housing for homeless youth	5	\$211, 213	1		TH		
Caritas of Austin	same	Spring Terrace: lease 20 units for chronically homeless	6	\$196,492	1		PH		
Front Steps	same	Garden Terrace: lease 10 units for chronically homeless	7	\$94, 668	1		PH		
The Salvation Army	same	Rapid Re-housing	8	\$795,540	3	PH			
SafePlace	same	Spring Terrace Permanent Supportive Housing Project	9	\$613,003	1		PH		

The Salvation Army	same	Passages Program	10	\$538,081	1		SSO		
Austin/Travis County Mental Health/Mental Retardation Center	same	Safe Haven	11	\$348,007	1		Safe-Haven		
(8) Subtotal: Requested Amount for CoC Competitive Projects:				\$ 4,097,459					
(9) Shelter Plus Care Renewals:							S+C Component Type		
Housing Authority of the City of Austin/ATCMHMR	same	Shelter Plus Care	12	\$173,568	1				
Housing Authority of Travis County /ATCMHMR	same	Shelter Plus Care	13	\$487,656	1	TRA			
Housing Authority of City of Austin	same	Shelter Plus Care	14	\$350,112	1	TRA			
(10) Subtotal: Requested Amount for S+C Renewal Projects:				\$1,011,336					
(11) Total CoC Requested Amount (line 8 + line 10):				\$5,108,795					

CoC Project Leveraging Summary Chart

<i>Name of Organization</i>	Total Value of Written Commitment
ATCMHMR HMIS	\$58,698
ATCMHMR Safe Haven	\$602,541
Caritas Spring Terrace	\$17,200
Caritas My Home	\$477,882
Caritas My Home Too	\$33,000
Front Steps First Steps	\$21,954
HACA/ATCMHMR	\$173,568
HACA/ATCMHMR/ASA	\$351,345
HACA/Foundation Communities	\$756,250
HATC/ATCMHMR	\$487,656
LifeWorks	\$298,190
SafePlace	\$1,102,942
The Salvation Army Passages	\$1,305,603
The Salvation Army Rapid Re-Housing	\$47,450
TOTAL LEVERAGING	\$5,734,279

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A. CoC Structure and Decision-Making Processes

CoC Groups and Meetings

The Ending Community Homelessness (ECHO) Coalition works to recruit a diverse body for its membership. There is an annual election which includes members of the steering committee (voting members) and general members. There is a requirement that no more than 40% of the members are service providers, and the rest of the membership is made up of formerly/currently homeless; government; business/private sector; and community members.

ECHO Planning and Evaluation Committee

The ECHO Planning and Evaluation Committee oversees all the planning work of ECHO, including the 10 Year Plan to End Community Homelessness. It also evaluates the success of current homeless initiatives and oversees the Continuum of Care process. Specific responsibilities include:

- Establish prioritization and related processes for the CoC application
- Evaluate HMIS effectiveness and data collection and reporting
- Evaluate data from projects serving the homeless and chronic homeless
- Identify data needs of the community and its service providers
- Generate publications, such as an FAQ on community homelessness issues
- Oversee periodic Counts and Surveys of the homeless
- Oversee the Continuum of Care application process
- Produce an annual ECHO Report to the community
- Research specific issues such as Shelter/Transitional Housing for Women and other needs as they are identified
- Address chronic homelessness as appropriate
- Maintain records of all Committee activities
- Identify systemic issues/advocacy needs and present to ECHO Executive Committee.

ECHO Continuum of Care Committee

This group meets at least monthly to oversee Continuum of Care planning, develop processes for counts and surveys, and develops recommendations for project priorities and the Consolidated Plan. During the CoC Application phase in the Spring, the members meet weekly.

ECHO Executive Committee

The Executive Committee oversees all aspects of ECHO and its committees, and provides leadership and oversight. Specific duties include:

- Plan quarterly ECHO membership meetings
- Meet monthly to align the work of the committees with the overall ECHO mission and goals
- Serve as primary liaison with the City Council, Travis County Commissioners
- Court, and local community planning entities such as the Austin/Travis County Community Action Network

- Implement public awareness campaigns and community education on homelessness.
- Assign new issues, as needed, to committees and/or form ad-hoc groups
- Identify a spokesperson who will represent ECHO in a professional manner.
- Coordinate committee advocacy efforts and define their role as advocates.
- Design and implement policies, procedures and practices to ensure the sound and effective operation of ECHO, including but not limited to fiscal management.
- Maintain records, membership rosters and archives of ECHO activities

ECHO Count and Survey Committee

The Count and Survey Subcommittee of the ECHO Planning and Evaluation Committee oversees the Annual Homeless Count. The Subcommittee: develops the strategies that will be used to identify the homeless; communicates with homeless providers about Count and Survey policies and procedures; conducts training of Count and Survey volunteers; and tallies the results of the Count and Survey and reconciles them with HMIS data. In addition, the Subcommittee oversees dissemination of the Count and Survey data..

ECHO Exiting Subcommittee

This Committee meets quarterly and oversees coordination of services for exiting homelessness; develops strategies for increasing income, job access, living wages, and housing with supportive services. Specific responsibilities include:

- Support the creation of housing units and social services (inclusive of such populations as people with criminal backgrounds)
- Recommend strategies for building agency capacity in order to increase housing and service resources for the homeless, particularly for the populations above
- Engage stakeholders and policy-makers, such as: Community Housing Development organizations; government agencies that address housing and social services; public housing authorities; private, nonprofit and for-profit housing developers; social service organizations, including faith-based organizations; and Re-Entry Roundtable and other planning bodies
- Address barriers to housing such as utility debt, criminal background, substance abuse, mental health, and unemployment
- Address chronic homelessness as appropriate
- Maintain records of all Committee activities
- Identify systemic issues/advocacy needs and present to the ECHO Executive Committee

ECHO Prevention Subcommittee

This Committee meets monthly and oversees the development of strategies to prevent future homelessness, with a special focus on discharge planning with hospitals, correctional institutions, mental health and foster care agencies. Specific responsibilities include:

- Identify, educate, and engage the community

- Develop and promote strategies that prevent homelessness
- Address chronic homelessness as appropriate
- Maintain records of all Committee activities
- Identify systemic issues/advocacy needs and present to the ECHO Executive Committee

ECHO Events/Community Education Committee

The Events/Community Education Committee oversees all community-based homeless events, such as National Hunger and Homelessness Awareness week, and educational materials that relate to homelessness. Specific responsibilities include:

- Plan and produce events that support the purposes of ECHO and engage the community in addressing homelessness
- Develop and implement a community education campaign to increase awareness of the needs of homeless persons and homeless service providers
- Address chronic homelessness as appropriate
- Maintain records of all Committee activities
- Identify systemic issues/advocacy needs and present to the ECHO Executive Committee

APPENDIX B: CoC Project Review and Selection

2008 Process:

1. Executive Directors of funded agencies met in early 2008 to determine who would be willing to reallocate funding so that more resources could be made available for permanent housing and increase the communities housing to services ratio: LifeWorks agreed not to request funding for the street outreach program, SafePlace reduced their allocation for services and the Passages collaboration reduced their budget request by \$200,000. The total amount available for reallocation: \$824, 152

2. In order to offset the reallocation and gain funding for the services that will be impacted, ECHO sponsored a funders meeting. Subsequent to this meeting, ECHO sent letters to congregations urging their participation in the City of Austin Drainage Fee waiver which is diverted to the Religious Coalition for the homeless which, in turn, provides funding for homeless organizations. This resulted in: 16 additional congregations (to 130) and almost \$65,000 in additional funding, a portion of which was distributed in the 2008 cycle and \$32,000 of which will be distributed, along with any additional funds, in 2009. ECHO also convened the grant writers for each participating organizations. In addition, ECHO also made presentations to the CAN Administrative Team requesting that they consider funding for services

3. P&E made a recommendation to the Executive Committee, which were accepted, that the community have the following priorities:
 - I. Samaritan Bonus: permanent housing for the chronically homeless
 - II. Homeless Management Information System
 - III. New Permanent Supportive Housing with at least an 85% housing to 15% services ratio
 - IV. Projects with a housing focus (no more than 50% services)
 - I. All other projects that serve homeless individuals and families

4. ECHO issued a Notice of Intent to apply. In 2008, it was voluntary, but members were interested in it being mandatory in future years

5. P&E created the job description and recommended the members for the Independent Review Team (IRT). Six IRT members from independent organizations participated (City of Austin HHS, City of Austin NHCD, United Way Capital Area, Religious Coalition for the Homeless, Travis County NHCD, and the Corporation for Supportive Housing.

6. P&E approved the scoring criteria

7. ECHO held a Bidder's Conference for anyone who had submitted a notice of intent and/or was interested in submitting an application

8. Applicants submitted their applications

9. The IRT met three times. The first time was an overview of the role, scoring and process and an opportunity to pick up the applications. The second was a meeting with the organizations themselves, and the final meeting was to create the funding recommendations. The reviewers turned in their scores three days prior to the final meeting so that they could be compiled and reviewed at the meeting.

10. The P&E Committee reviewed the recommendations and accepted them

11. The applicants had their applications returned so that they could improve them based on reviewer comments. This did not change the final scores, just strengthen the overall application

12. The Exhibit I data was entered as it was received and compiled. Andrew Bucknall with HMIS compiled all the Housing Inventory data. There are several questions in Exhibit I that rely on data from the APR's and the housing inventory. Because a new system ESNAPS was used this year and caused some logistical challenges. There was data that had to be entered this year that should only need to be updated next year such as the names of the participants in ECHO.

13. P&E reviewed key data from Exhibit I and two P&E members agreed to review the text in Exhibit I

14. The entire community application was sent to HUD on October 21st, prior to the Oct 23rd deadline

Open Solicitation

The Austin/Travis County solicits applications through the following methods:

- Letters/Emails to CoC Membership
- Responsive to Public Inquiries
- Outreach to Faith-Based Groups
- Announcements at CoC Meetings
- Announcements at Other Meetings

Objective Rating Measures and Performance Assessment

The CoC uses the following methods to rate and measure performance of CoC projects:

a. CoC Rating & Review Committee Exists	X	j. Assess Spending (fast or slow)	X
b. Review CoC Monitoring Findings	X	k. Assess Cost Effectiveness	X
c. Review HUD Monitoring Findings	X	l. Assess Provider Organization Experience	X
d. Review Independent Audit	X	m. Assess Provider Organization	X

		Capacity	
e. Review HUD APR for Performance Results	X	n. Evaluate Project Presentation	X
f. Review Unexecuted Grants	N/A	o. Review CoC Membership Involvement	X
g. Site Visit(s)	N/A	p. Review Match	X
h. Survey Clients	X	q. Review All Leveraging Letters (to ensure that they meet HUD requirements)	X
i. Evaluate Project Readiness	X		

Voting/Decision System

The CoC uses the following to vote for project priorities and methodology:

a. Unbiased Panel / Review Committee	X	e. Consensus (general agreement)	X
b. Consumer Representative Has a Vote	X	f. Voting Members Abstain if Conflict of Interest	X
d. One Vote per Organization	X		

Appendix C: CoC Point in Time Count Data Sources & Methods

Sheltered Homeless Population and Subpopulations

Service Providers were asked to submit their point in time count, and HMIS was used to check the numbers. In addition, the Continuum conducted a statistically reliable survey of sheltered clients and checked the information with data entered in HMIS.

Subpopulations

A sample of sheltered adults and unaccompanied youth were interviewed to gather subpopulation information, and extrapolation techniques were applied to produce the total sheltered homeless population. Providers used individual client records (e.g., case management files) to provide subpopulation data for each adult and unaccompanied youth.

The Continuum also conducted a statistically reliable survey of sheltered clients that included sub-population data which asked clients and case managers for information. Using a HUD Formula, this data was used to determine percentages for all subpopulations which was applied to the sheltered Count information. The chronic homeless subpopulation numbers were calculated through the HUD provided formula.

Data Quality

The CoC provided instructions via email and reminded providers about the Count, and did follow up with them about their surveys and count numbers. HMIS was used to verify data collected. All emergency shelter and transitional housing providers provided population and subpopulation data.

Unsheltered Client Survey and Count

The 2008 Exhibit I utilized the Austin/Travis County CoC Point in Time (PIT) count that was conducted on January 25, 2007. There was a record number of volunteers who came out to help count. The CoC combined complete coverage with known locations and conducted counts in other portions of the jurisdiction where unsheltered persons are known to live. The CoC used experts who do outreach to homeless people in camps to find locations, to train about safety and ways to interact with homeless individuals in camps/on street.

The actual Count numbers were included in the 2008 Exhibit I application. In the 2007 application, the Martha Burt formula was applied.

Community partners involved in PIT unsheltered count

- Outreach teams
- Law Enforcement
- Service Providers
- Community volunteers
- Homeless and/or formerly homeless persons
- City Government

The PIT Count will be an annual community event in January. The next one will occur in January 2009.

APPENDIX D: CoC Achievements Chart: *This chart was submitted in 2007 and not required in 2008. ECHO may wish to review in 2009.*

<p>2006 Objectives to End Chronic Homelessness and Move Families and Individuals to Permanent Housing</p>	<p>12-month Measurable Achievement Proposed in 2006 (from Chart N of your 2006 CoC application)</p>	<p>Accomplishments (Enter the numeric achievement attained during past 12 months)</p>
<p>1. Create new PH beds for chronically homeless persons.</p>	<p>a. Open Spring Terrace project with 20 new permanent supportive housing units for chronically homeless persons</p>	<p>20 units for chronically homeless were created</p>
	<p>b. Open Skyline Terrace project with 30 new permanent supportive housing units for chronically homeless persons</p>	<p>Skyline Terrace will provide PH for homeless and formerly homeless individuals. The 30 new chronic homeless units were not funded by HUD Continuum of Care in 2006.</p>
	<p>c. Build additional permanent, supportive SRO-type housing for chronically homeless persons</p>	<p>There were no additional projects added in 2006, but will be in 2007.</p>
<p>2. Increase percentage of homeless persons staying in PH over 6 months to 71%.</p>	<p>a. Increase percentage of homeless persons staying in PH over 6 months to 71%</p>	<p>90% of clients that exited to Permanent housing stayed in permanent housing over 6 months.</p>
	<p>b. Conduct evaluations of Shelter+Care programs; focus on improving maintenance of PH</p>	<p>Review of all CoC funded projects are conducted annually.</p>
	<p>c. Assure that case management services are strong enough to help participants stay in PH for both new and existing PH projects</p>	
<p>3. Increase percentage of homeless persons moving from TH to PH to 61.5%.</p>	<p>a. Increase percentage of homeless persons moving from TH to PH to 61.5%.</p>	<p>67% of homeless persons moved from Transitional Housing programs into Permanent Housing.</p>
	<p>b. Conduct evaluations of Transitional Housing and Safe Haven programs; focus on current successful practices</p>	<p>Review of all CoC funded projects are conducted annually.</p>
	<p>c. Ensure that case management services and follow-up support are strong enough to help TH participants obtain and maintain PH</p>	
<p>4. Increase percentage of homeless persons becoming employed by 11%.</p>	<p>a. Increase percentage of homeless persons becoming employed by 11%.</p>	<p>There was a 13% increase of clients with employment income from entry to exit.</p>
	<p>b. Improve coordination with local Workforce Board to address employment needs of homeless and increase access to local employment programs</p>	<p>Goodwill Industries, in collaboration with Workforce Board (i.e. WorkSource) have increased on-site employment</p>

	c. Further develop employment assistance programs to increase number of persons with employment.	services. Anew Entry has also begun providing on-site employment services.
5. Ensure that the CoC has a functional HMIS system.	a. Improve reporting capabilities of HMIS to provide data more useful for planning purposes	ECHO Planning and Evaluation Committee instituted review of HMIS information.
	b. Increase number of agencies that utilize HMIS to prepare annual APR.	All HUD-funded programs are entering the necessary data to generate a HUD Annual Progress Report through HMIS
	c. Utilize HMIS to verify inventory and count data	Utilized HMIS to verify housing inventory and sheltered count.

Appendix E: 2008 CoC Current Funding and Renewal Projections: This chart needs to be updated for 2009

Supportive Housing Program (SHP) Projects:

Type of Housing	All SHP Funds Requested (Current Year)	Renewal Projections				
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Transitional Housing (TH)	\$1,497,486	\$1,307,286	\$1,402,386	\$1,402,386	\$1,402,386	\$1,402,386
Safe Havens-TH	\$348,007	\$348,007	\$348,007	\$348,007	\$348,007	\$348,007
Permanent Housing (PH)	\$715,849	\$196,492	\$503,505	\$503,505	\$503,505	\$503,505
Safe Havens-PH	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SSO	\$879,164	\$879,164	\$879,164	\$879,164	\$879,164	\$879,164
HMIS	\$78,533	\$78,533	\$78,533	\$78,533	\$78,533	\$78,533
Totals	\$3,519,039	\$2,809,482	\$3,211,595	\$3,211,595	\$3,211,595	\$3,211,595

Shelter Plus Care (S+C) Projects:

Number of S+C Bedrooms	All S+C Funds Requested (Current Year)		Renewal Projections									
	2007		2008		2009		2010		2011		2012	
	Units	\$	Units	\$	Units	\$	Units	\$	Units	\$	Units	\$
SRO											50	\$ 297,600
0			5	\$ 36,060	5	\$ 36,060	12	\$ 86,544	12	\$86,544	12	\$ 86,544
1	47	\$ 408,180	62	\$ 531,480	62	\$ 531,480	85	\$ 739,860	85	\$739,860	85	\$ 739,860
2	28	\$ 309,288	28	\$ 309,288	28	\$ 309,288	28	\$ 309,288	28	\$309,288	28	\$ 309,288
3	6	\$ 90,000	6	\$ 90,000	6	\$ 90,000	6	\$ 90,000	6	\$90,000	6	\$ 90,000
4												
5												
Totals	81	\$ 807,468	101	\$ 966,828	101	\$ 966,828	131	\$ 1,225,692	131	\$ 1,225,692	181	\$ 1,523,292